

Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2022 to 2023 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	OSMANI PRIMARY SCHOOL
Number of pupils in school	454
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	30% (134 pupils)
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	3YEARS
Date this statement was published	SEPTEMBER 2022
Date on which it will be reviewed	DECEMBER 2023
Statement authorised by	REMI ATOYEBI
Pupil premium lead	PENNY SEYMOUR
Governor / Trustee lead	DR ROGER COURTENAY



Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£199,440.00
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£ 16,675.00
School Led Tutoring	£ 7,762.50 (£24,437.50)
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year	£223,877.50
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan Statement of intent

What are your ultimate objectives for your disadvantaged pupils?

Our intent is to close the attainment and progress gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils by continuing to raise attainment in English and Mathematics to NATIONAL STANDARD AND HIGHER STANDARD.of all pupils including those that are disadvantaged and those with SEND.

How does your current pupil premium strategy plan work towards achieving those objectives?

As a school, we are working towards the above objective through:

- the use of 3 assessment points and data analysis to identify the needs of pupils and to inform our interventions.
- Assessments are undertaken by specialists e.g. EP, SALT and the outcomes of these assessments are used to plan targeted and time bound interventions.



• Teachers carry out mid point assessment reviews and identify any pupil causing concern, e.g. making slow progress, social or emotional difficulties, attendance issues.

What are the key principles of your strategy plan?

Evaluation reports from the Education Endowment Fund are used to identify the most effective interventions.

Ongoing evaluation of the impact of approaches/interventions adopted by the school allows us to monitor their effectiveness.

From prior successful implementation, the approaches/interventions below have proven to be effective in closing the gap in progress and attainment of our pupils.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Low attainment/slow progress
2	Speech, language and communication needs
3	Autism
4	Pastoral needs e.g. social, emotional and wellbeing
5	High levels of deprivation/Poor Housing/Overcrowding
6	Attendance
7	Parental engagement



8	Mobility including those New to English/country
9	Low engagement in enrichment activities

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for by the end of our current strategy plan, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Disadvantaged pupils make expected progress or are at Age Related Expectations from their starting point.	The gap in attainment between disadvantaged and non disadvantaged pupils is narrowed or eliminated.

Activities in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching

Budgeted cost: £ 16, 675.00 (Recovery Premium)

£ 7,762.50 (School Led Tutoring)

Total: (£24,437.50)

70447 (221)101100)		
Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
RWI Catch Up	EEF:Phonics	1
	https://educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/phonics/	
Additional Guided Reading sessions	EEF:Reading Comprehension Strategies	1
	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le	



arning-toolkit/reading-comprehension-st	
rategies/	

Targeted academic support

Budgeted cost: £142,340.50

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Additional Teacher for (POD) Place Of Discovery to close the gap for the lowest achieving and SEND pupils	EEF:Small Group Tuition https://educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/small-group-tuition/	1, 2
Years 1 (0.4) Year 2 (0.4)		
Y3&4 POD (0.4)	EEF:Small Group Tuition https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition/	1,2
Additional Teacher for Year 6(0.4)	EEF:Small Group Tuition https://educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/within-class-attainment-grouping/	1
Dedicated teacher pupil conferencing time.	EEF: Feedback https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/feedback/	1
Accelerate pupils' progress in Literacy and Numeracy	EEF: Small Group Tuition https://educationendowmentfoundation.	1



through specific intervention such as the 1st class@ numbers, ELS.	org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/small-group-tuition/	
Support for children who are New to English in acquiring basic conversational language in order to access the curriculum. (0.4)	EEF: Small Group Tuition https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition/	8
Year 6 After school booster classes	EEF: Small Group Tuition https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition/	1

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £ *57,102.00*

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
CURRICULUM TRIPS FOR ALL YEAR GROUPS: Improve the quality of pupils writing by giving them a context and purpose for writing.	https://educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/arts-participation/	9
LUNCH TIME HOMEWORK CLUB: Support for pupils who lack support at home e.g parents do not have the skills to support their child's learning.	https://educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/homework-primary/	9
ARTIST IN RESIDENCE DAYS: Develop pupils artistic skills.	https://educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/arts-participation/	9



YEAR 6 AWARD LESSONS:To nurture and develop musical skills of talented pupils (Cello/violin-THAMES)	https://educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/arts-participation/	9
UP FOUNDATION THERAPEUTIC SERVICE: Support pupils with barriers to learning e.g. social skills, concentration, confidence and self esteem	https://educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/social-and-emotional-learn ing/	4
ATTENDANCE AND WELFARE SUPPORT: Support in improving pupils attendance and punctuality	https://educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-le arning-toolkit/parental-engagement/	6,7,8

Total budgeted cost: £223,877.50

Externally provided programme

Programme	Provider
Social, Emotional, Psychological Support	UP Foundation
Artist in Residence	BowArts
Speech and Language Therapy	Blossom Tree

Further information

Educational Psychologist 10 days a year

Specialist Literacy teacher 0.5 days a week

Speech and Language Therapist Blossom Tree: EY and KS1 1.5 days a week

Speech and Language Therapist Blossom Tree

KS2 1 day a week



Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2022 to 2023 academic year.

2022-2023 PUPIL PREMIUM SPENDING OUTCOMES

Percentage of KS2 pupils achieving Expected Standard and above

61 Pupils	Reading, and Math	•	Reading		Writing		Maths		
	School % National %		School %	National %	School %	National %	School %	National %	
Non-disadvantaged pupils (40 pupils)	80%	67%	95%	79%	90%	78%	90%	80%	
Disadvantaged pupils (21 pupils)	71% 44%		71% 61%		81% 58%		81%	59%	

Progress score from KS1 to KS2

61 Pupils	Reading, and Math		Reading		Writing		Maths		
	School %	National %	School %	National %	School %	National %	School %	National %	
Non-disadvantaged pupils (40 pupils)	80%		90%		80%		100%		
Disadvantaged pupils (21 pupils)	90%		83%		100%		95%		



2022-23 Expected Attainment and Progress Years 1-5 In order to close the attainment gap caused by Covid-19, the school expected accelerated progress of at least 4 points rather than the usual 3 points.

	Attainme	nt Assessme 19 points	nt Point 3			Progres	s Assessme 4 points	nt Point 3	Year 1 Indigo	
R	W	S	M	AVE	R	W	S	М	ALL	real i maige
17.9	17.5	17.6	18.0	17.8	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.6	All (30)
17.9	17.8	17.8	18.2	17.9	3.1	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.5	Disadvantaged (8)
17.9	17.5	17.5	17.9	17.7	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7	Non-Disadvantaged (22)

	Attainmen	t Assessme 19 points	ent Point 3		Pr	ogress A	Assessmo		: 3	Year 1 Sapphire
R	W	S	М	AVE	R	W	S	М	ALL	
17.6	18.0	18.0	18.0	17.9	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5	All (28)
18.1	18.5	18.5	18.4	18.4	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.4	Disadvantaged (6)
17.4	17.8	17.8	17.9	17.7	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	Non-Disadvantaged (22)

	Attainme	ent Assessmer 22 points	nt Point 3			Progress	Assessme 4 points	nt Point 3		Year 2 Lilac
R	W	S	М	AVE	R	W	S	М	ALL	Tour 2 Linds
20.7	20.6	20.6	20.9	20.7	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	All (28)
19.8	20.0	20.0	20.1	19.9	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	Disadvantaged (10)
21.3	21.0	21.0	21.3	21.2	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.7	Non-Disadvantaged (18)

	Attainme	ent Assessmer 22 points	t Point 3			Progress	Assessme 4 points	nt Point 3		Year 2 Violet
R	W	S	R	W	S	М	ALL	Teal 2 Violet		
20.5	20.2	20.5	20.5	20.4	3.1	2.8	3.1	2.8	3.0	All (29)
19.9	19.4	19.8	19.6	19.7	3.1	2.5	2.9	2.6	2.8	Disadvantaged (10)
20.8	20.7	20.9	21.0	20.8	3.1	3.0	3.2	2.9	3.1	Non-Disadvantaged (19)

Atta		Assessn 25 points	nent Poii s	nt 3	Pr	_	Assessm 4 points		t 3	Year 3 Gold
R	W	S	М	AVE	R	W	S	М	ALL	
25.0	24.8	24.8	25.0	24.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.2	3.0	All (23)
24.5	24.2	24.2	24.6	24.4	2.9	2.8	2.8	3.2	2.9	Disadvantaged (8)
25.2	25.1	25.1	25.2	25.2	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	Non-Disadvantaged (15)

Atta	ainment	Assessn 25 points		nt 3	Pr	_	Assessm 4 points		it 3	Year 3 Orange
R	W	S	М	AVE	R	W	S	М	ALL	_
23.3	23.1	23.1	23.7	23.3	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	All (27)
23.3	22.9	22.9	23.6	23.2	2.9	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	Disadvantaged (9)
23.3	23.1	23.1	23.8	23.3	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	Non-Disadvantaged (18)



Atta	ainment 2	Assessn 28 points		nt 3	P	rogress?	Asses 4 poi		Point 3	Year 4 Amber
R	w	S	М	AVE	R	W	S	М	ALL	
25.9	25.5	25.5	26.6	25.9	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.9	All (27)
26.6	26.3	26.3	26.8	26.5	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.5	Disadvantaged (9)
25.5	25.1	25.1	26.5	25.5	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1	Non-Disadvantaged (18)

Atta	ainment :	Assessn 28 points		nt 3	Pr	_	Assessm 4 points	ent Poir	nt 3	Year 4 Saffron
R	W	S	М	AVE	R	W	S	М	ALL	
26.6	25.8	25.9	26.6	26.2	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	All (28)
28.4	27.0	27.2	27.8	27.6	3.2	2.9	3.0	3.4	3.1	Disadvantaged (7)
26.0	25.3	25.4	26.2	25.7	3.4	3.8	3.9	3.5	3.6	Non-Disadvantaged (21)

	Attainmen	t Assessme 31 points	ent Point 3			Progress	Assessme 4 points	nt Point 3		Year 5 Emerald
R	W	S	М	AVE	R	W	S	М	ALL	Tour o Emorara
30.1	29.9	29.4	30.2	29.9	3.7	3.5	3.1	3.4	3.5	All (24)
30.6	29.8	29.5	30.4	30.1	3.6	3.0	2.9	3.2	3.2	Disadvantaged (5)
30.0	29.9	29.4	30.2	29.9	3.8	3.6	3.2	3.5	3.5	Non-Disadvantaged (19)

	Attainmen	t Assessme 31 points	ent Point 3			Progress	Year 5 Jade			
R	W	S	М	AVE	R	W	S	М	ALL	Tour o oddo
30.7	29.9	29.7	30.1	30.1	4.5	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.9	All (28)
31.7	31.1	30.9	31.1	31.2	4.7	4.4	4.0	3.9	4.2	Disadvantaged (7)
30.4	29.5	29.3	29.7	29.7	4.5	3.7	3.5	3.3	3.7	Non-Disadvantaged (21)